

International Trend of Access to Justice in Environmental Matters and EIA Litigation

Osaka University Noriko Okubo



Why is EIA important?

1 Basic tool to reduce as much as possible the environmental load

2 one of the most important opportunities for public participation in many countries



Trend in Asia

- 1 Many countries have EIA system which requires
- 2 Guidelines on Public Participation in Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) in the Mekong Region (2017)
- 3 PP in EIA is relatively similar at first sight, in terms of detail, it varies from country to country.
- 4 Some common and significant challenges, such as insufficient public notice and improper consideration of public comments.
- 5 Access to justice has contributed to ensuring that the obligations of EIA law are attained and public concerned exercises rights.



Research Topic

Comparative study of seven Asian countries: China, India, Indonesia, Japan, Philippines, Taiwan and Thailand

1 To develop the PP indicator and the A2J indicator in EIA

2 To evaluate the current situation of each country based on the indicators



Research Objectives

- 1 Sharing the good practices
- 2 Discussing what are the current challenges and how to overcome them
- 3 Contributing to developing the better indicators for Goal 16 of SDGs



What is Green Access project II?

http://greenaccess.law.osaka-u.ac.jp/

- To investigate application of Principle 10 in different regions in comparison with Asia
- To promote environmental democracy

Core members
Noriko Okubo (Osaka University)
Yayoi Isono (hon. Tokyo Keizai University)
Kenichiro Yanagi (Meiji University)
Yukari Takamura (Nagoya University)

Special thanks to national collaborators,
Young supporters: Koichiro Gondo, Tengku Munawar Chalil,
and Specially appointed researchers: Riwa Watanabe and
Ayako Yamada



International Collaborators for EIA survey

- China: Deng Haifeng, Tsinghua University
- India: Ritwick Dutta,

Legal Initiative for Forest and Environment

Indonesia: Rika Fajrini,

Indonesian Center for Environmental Law

- Philippines: Rose-Liza Eisma-Osorio, University Cebu
- Taiwan: Thomas Shun-Kuei CHAN,

Environmental Protection Administration Executive Yuan and Ming Chih Lee, Osaka University

Thailand: Chacrit Sitdhiwej, Thammasat University



International Standards Developments on P10

1998

Aarhus Convention

47 Parties

2010

Bali Guidelines

2018

New regional agreement in ECLAC





How to make indicators?

- 1 Legal indicators based on Principle 10 and Aarhus Convention
 - Main indicators + Sub-indicators
- 2 Referred to EDI (Environmental Democracy Index), but more focused on EIA
- 3 Referred also to the findings of Aarhus Compliance Committee, ECJ case law and Commission Notice on access to justice in environmental matters (2017)



Main indicators for PP in EIA

- 1 Object of EIA
- 2 Range of participants
- 3 Identification of stakeholders
- 4 Adequate notice
- 5 Timing: Early participation + reasonable timeframe
- 6 PP methods
- Organizer and rule on public hearing
- 7 Informed participation
- 8 Due consideration
- 9 Publication of decision and its reasoning



Opportunities to participate?

- 1 Required in all 7 countries by laws and/or regulations
- 2 Early participation?
 - No PP at screening in 5 countries
 - Taiwan: 4 times for Phase I EIA
 - Philippines: <u>Encouraged</u> to be initiated early and in all stages
 - Japan: Primary Environmental Impact Consideration obligation to strive to initiate PP
- * New developments

China: revised in 2017

Thailand: new legislation soon in 2018



How many times? 1~8 times

- 1 One time:
 - India
 - Thailand: at least one time, more at discretion
- 2 Two times
 - Indonesia (scoping + EIS)
 - China (construction PJ) (draft EIS +EIS)
- 3 Three times
 - Japan (before scoping + scoping + draft EIS)
- 4 4 times for Phase I + II respectively=8: Taiwan
- 5 All stages: Philippines (encourage)



Range of Participants

Type A Public: Japan, Thailand

Type B Affected people: India, Philippines

Type C Public + affected people/public concerned China, Indonesia, Taiwan

- 1 Wide meaning of public and affected people including community, environmentalist and NGOs
- 2 Three countries distinguish public and affected people (different way to participate)

China: Selection of representative for public hearing Indonesia: Affected community has priority.



Methods for PP

- 1 Submission in writing
 - 6 countries except for Philippines
- 2 Public hearing: 6 countries
 - Japan: only explanation meeting
 Public hearing based on ordinance in big cities
 - China: exhibition
 - *Obligation for minutes/record: 6 countries Video record: India
- 3 Participation of community as committee member
 - Indonesia: EIA Appraisal Committee



Due consideration of opinions

- 1 Publication of submitted opinions and/or summary?
 - China, Japan, Taiwan, Thailand
- 2 Publication of result of consideration?
 - China, India, Taiwan, Thailand
 - * Japan: feedback only from project operator
- 3 Publication of decision? India, Indonesia, Taiwan



Indicators for Access to Justice

- 1 Wide/liberal/active legal standing
- 2 Scope of judicial review
- (1)Possible grounds of judicial review
- (2)Intensity
- 3 Effective remedies
- (1)Remedies in case of procedural defects in EIA
- (2) Suspension, revocation of unlawful decision
- (3)Remedies in case of omission
- (4)Interim measures
- 4 Costs
- (1)Not prohibitive
- (2)Legal aid
- 5 Timelines and efficiency of procedures
- 6 Practical information



Legal standing

- 1 Land owners: 6 countries
- 2 Local residents other in the affected area: all 7 countries
- 3 Community: 4 countries
- 4 Local government: at least 4 countries
- 5 ENGO: All except Japan



Public interest litigation

- 1 Public interest litigation

 Admitted in 6 countries except for Japan
- * Wide possibility for community and ENGOs in India, Indonesia, Philippines
- * Citizen's suit, writ of Kalikasan, suo moto
- 2 Japan
 - legally-protected interest legally protected interest must be concrete and individualistic (case law).



Early access to justice

- 1 Possibility to challenge SEA
 - Indonesia, Philippines
- 2 Possibility to challenge any action during EIA
 - Philippines
 - Indonesia: possible to challenge at EIA Appraisal Forum



Types of litigation

- 1 Typical
 - (1) Revocation/injunction of admin. decision
 - (2) Injunction of public works and/or project
 - (3) Claim for damages
 - *Claim for ecological damage: possible in Southeast Asian countries

2 Rare

- Action for re-implementation of EIA possible in Philippines and Thailand
- * Action for seeking implementation of EIA is admitted in more than half countries surveyed.
- 3 Provisional relief is admitted in all countries.
 Philippines: Temporary Environmental protection Order



Cost and scope

- 1 Cost
 - (1) Looser pays principle is dominant.
 - No fee for administrative case: Thailand
 - (2) Financial support for vulnerable people: all countries
- 2 Scope of judicial review
 - To challenge substantive and procedural legality: possible in all countries
 - Difficult to compare intense of review
 Further survey about concrete cases is
 necessary.



Review court and principles

- 1 Review organization
 - (1) Environmental court or bench
 - 5 countries
 - Japan and Taiwan: Specialized ADR system
 - Utilization of experts: in all countries
 - (2) Ombudsman: in more than half countries
- 2 Special principle / standard
 - (1) Sustainable development: India, Thailand etc.
 - (2) Precautionary principle: India, Indonesia, Philippines etc.
 - (3) Strict liability: India, Japan (partially), Thailand etc.
 - (4) PPP: India, Indonesia etc.



Easy access to Justice

- 1 Use of local language and/or several languages
 - All countries except Japan
- 2 On-site hearing: Indonesia, Thailand
- 3 Teleconference: Indonesia, Thailand
- 4 Practical information?
- 5 Capacity building?



Recent challenge

- Obvious increase of SLAPP plus various harassment
- How to protect environmental defender?



Remarkable!!
Article 9 of new ECLAC PP Agreement
Similar provision in Indonesia
Anti-SLAPP clause in Philippines